Points on a Circle with Integer Distances with Respect to an Equilateral Triangle Solution to PME Journal Problem 1245 by Stanley Rabinowitz

Sarah E. Ritchey

Youngstown State University

Advised by Dr. Jacek Fabrykowski

(日) (四) (문) (문) (문)

Problem Statement

Let ABC be an equilateral triangle with edge length c inscribed in a circle. Let N be a point on minor arc AB. Let NB = a and NA = b. Is it possible for a, b, and c to all be distinct positive integers? Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz [1].



[Figure 1]

Problem Statement

Let *ABC* be an equilateral triangle with edge length *c* inscribed in a circle. Let N be a point on minor arc \overrightarrow{AB} . Let NB = a and NA = b. Is it possible for a, b, and c to all be distinct positive integers? Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz [1].



[Figure 2]

Problem Statement

Let ABC be an equilateral triangle with edge length c inscribed in a circle. Let N be a point on minor arc AB. Let NB = a and NA = b. Is it possible for a, b, and c to all be distinct positive integers? Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz [1].



[Figure 3]

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Yes!

For example, when c = 7, it can be shown that a solution occurs when a = 3 and b = 5.

- Are there more solutions?
- Can we find all solutions?
- Does this geometric problem connect to other areas of mathematics?

YES, again!

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Yes!

For example, when c = 7, it can be shown that a solution occurs when a = 3 and b = 5.

- Are there more solutions?
- Can we find all solutions?
- Does this geometric problem connect to other areas of mathematics?

YES, again!

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Yes!

For example, when c = 7, it can be shown that a solution occurs when a = 3 and b = 5.

- Are there more solutions?
- Can we find all solutions?
- Does this geometric problem connect to other areas of mathematics?

YES, again!

Algebraic Representation of a, b, and c

Solution. We want to find distinct integers *a*, *b*, and *c*.



- It follows that $m \angle ANB = 120^{\circ}$.
- By the Law of Cosines,

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos(120^\circ).$$

• Since $\cos(120^{\circ}) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.

Algebraic Representation of a, b, and c

Solution. We want to find distinct integers *a*, *b*, and *c*.



- It follows that $m \angle ANB = 120^{\circ}$.
- By the Law of Cosines,

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos(120^\circ).$$

• Since $\cos(120^\circ) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.

Algebraic Representation of a, b, and c

Solution. We want to find distinct integers *a*, *b*, and *c*.



- It follows that $m \angle ANB = 120^{\circ}$.
- By the Law of Cosines,

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos(120^\circ).$$

• Since $\cos(120^\circ) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

- We can show that positive integers *a*, *b*, and *c* are distinct using $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.
- It is trivial that c > a and c > b.
- Suppose a = b. Then

$$c^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab$$

$$c^{2} = 3a^{2}$$

$$\frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Because $\sqrt{3}$ is not rational today, we have a contradiction and $a \neq b!$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- We can show that positive integers *a*, *b*, and *c* are distinct using $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.
- It is trivial that c > a and c > b.
- Suppose a = b. Then

$$c^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab$$

$$c^{2} = 3a^{2}$$

$$\frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Because $\sqrt{3}$ is not rational today, we have a contradiction and $a \neq b!$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- We can show that positive integers *a*, *b*, and *c* are distinct using $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.
- It is trivial that c > a and c > b.
- Suppose a = b. Then

$$c^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab$$

$$c^{2} = 3a^{2}$$

$$\frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Because $\sqrt{3}$ is not rational today, we have a contradiction and $a \neq b!$

- We can show that positive integers *a*, *b*, and *c* are distinct using $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.
- It is trivial that c > a and c > b.
- Suppose *a* = *b*. Then

$$c^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab$$

$$c^{2} = 3a^{2}$$

$$\frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Because $\sqrt{3}$ is not rational today, we have a contradiction and $a \neq b!$

- We can show that positive integers *a*, *b*, and *c* are distinct using $c^2 = a^2 + b^2 + ab$.
- It is trivial that c > a and c > b.
- Suppose a = b. Then

$$c^{2} = a^{2} + b^{2} + ab$$

$$c^{2} = 3a^{2}$$

$$\frac{c}{a} = \sqrt{3}$$

Because $\sqrt{3}$ is not rational today, we have a contradiction and $a \neq b!$

A Little Algebra

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• We can manipulate our equation, such that,

$$4c^{2} = 4a^{2} + 4b^{2} + 4ab$$

$$4c^{2} = 4a^{2} + 4ab + b^{2} + 3b^{2}$$

$$(2c)^{2} = (2a + b)^{2} + 3b^{2}.$$

- Next, we let z = 2c, x = 2a + b, and y = b.
- This substitution yields

$$z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2.$$

• Note since z = 2c, z will always be even.

A Little Algebra

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• We can manipulate our equation, such that,

$$4c^{2} = 4a^{2} + 4b^{2} + 4ab$$

$$4c^{2} = 4a^{2} + 4ab + b^{2} + 3b^{2}$$

$$(2c)^{2} = (2a + b)^{2} + 3b^{2}.$$

- Next, we let z = 2c, x = 2a + b, and y = b.
- This substitution yields

$$z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2.$$

• Note since z = 2c, z will always be even.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- This is a very common equation that Euler studied intensely.
- It is classified as a homogeneous Diophantine Equation.
- This implies that for any positive integer *s*, *sx*, *sy*, and *sz* will also be a solution.
- Thus, since we gave one solution already, we can construct an infinite number of solutions.
- This problem has an infinite number of solutions!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- This is a very common equation that Euler studied intensely.
- It is classified as a homogeneous Diophantine Equation.
- This implies that for any positive integer *s*, *sx*, *sy*, and *sz* will also be a solution.
- Thus, since we gave one solution already, we can construct an infinite number of solutions.
- This problem has an infinite number of solutions!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- This is a very common equation that Euler studied intensely.
- It is classified as a homogeneous Diophantine Equation.
- This implies that for any positive integer *s*, *sx*, *sy*, and *sz* will also be a solution.
- Thus, since we gave one solution already, we can construct an infinite number of solutions.
- This problem has an infinite number of solutions!

Characterizing Solutions

Lemma

If $z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2$, then all solutions can be characterized by only considering integers x, y, and z that are relatively prime.

Proof.

Suppose that x, y, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and satisfy $z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2$. Let d be a common divisor of x and y. This directly implies that $d^2|x^2$ and $d^2|x^2 + 3y^2$. Therefore,

$$d^2|z^2$$
.

By unique factorization

d|z.

By further argument, we discover that if d divides any of the two, x, y, or z, then it divides the third.

Characterizing Solutions

Lemma

If $z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2$, then all solutions can be characterized by only considering integers x, y, and z that are relatively prime.

Proof.

Suppose that x, y, and $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and satisfy $z^2 = x^2 + 3y^2$. Let d be a common divisor of x and y. This directly implies that $d^2|x^2$ and $d^2|x^2 + 3y^2$. Therefore,

$$d^{2}|z^{2}|$$

By unique factorization

d|z.

By further argument, we discover that if d divides any of the two, x, y, or z, then it divides the third.

• Set
$$x_1 = \frac{x}{g}$$
, $y_1 = \frac{y}{g}$, and $z_1 = \frac{z}{g}$.

- We conclude that x_1 , y_1 , and z_1 will satisfy $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$, with pairwise $gcd(x_1, y_1, z_1) = 1$.
- We can then call x₁, y₁, and z₁ primitive since these values are not multiples of a smaller triple.
- Since z is even and pairwise gcd(x, y, z) = 1, we also know that x and y must be odd.

• Set
$$x_1 = \frac{x}{g}$$
, $y_1 = \frac{y}{g}$, and $z_1 = \frac{z}{g}$

- We conclude that x_1 , y_1 , and z_1 will satisfy $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$, with pairwise $gcd(x_1, y_1, z_1) = 1$.
- We can then call x₁, y₁, and z₁ primitive since these values are not multiples of a smaller triple.
- Since z is even and pairwise gcd(x, y, z) = 1, we also know that x and y must be odd.

• Set
$$x_1 = \frac{x}{g}$$
, $y_1 = \frac{y}{g}$, and $z_1 = \frac{z}{g}$

- We conclude that x_1 , y_1 , and z_1 will satisfy $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$, with pairwise $gcd(x_1, y_1, z_1) = 1$.
- We can then call x_1 , y_1 , and z_1 primitive since these values are not multiples of a smaller triple.
- Since z is even and pairwise gcd(x, y, z) = 1, we also know that x and y must be odd.

• Set
$$x_1 = \frac{x}{g}$$
, $y_1 = \frac{y}{g}$, and $z_1 = \frac{z}{g}$

- We conclude that x_1 , y_1 , and z_1 will satisfy $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$, with pairwise $gcd(x_1, y_1, z_1) = 1$.
- We can then call x₁, y₁, and z₁ primitive since these values are not multiples of a smaller triple.
- Since z is even and pairwise gcd(x, y, z) = 1, we also know that x and y must be odd.

Finding All Solutions

$$z2 = x2 + 3y2$$
$$z2 - x2 = 3y2$$

Factoring, we have

$$(z-x)(z+x)=3y^2.$$

- Because the gcd(x, z) = 1, we can show that gcd(z - x, z + x) = 1 when z is even and x is odd.
- From Niven[2], if *u* and *v* are relatively prime positive integers whose product *uv* is a perfect square, then *u* and *v* are both perfect squares.
- This implies that either $z x = 3k^2$ and $z + x = t^2$ or $z + x = 3k^2$ and $z x = t^2$.

Finding All Solutions

$$z2 = x2 + 3y2$$
$$z2 - x2 = 3y2$$

Factoring, we have

$$(z-x)(z+x)=3y^2.$$

- Because the gcd(x, z) = 1, we can show that gcd(z x, z + x) = 1 when z is even and x is odd.
- From Niven[2], if *u* and *v* are relatively prime positive integers whose product *uv* is a perfect square, then *u* and *v* are both perfect squares.
- This implies that either $z x = 3k^2$ and $z + x = t^2$ or $z + x = 3k^2$ and $z x = t^2$.

Finding All Solutions

$$z2 = x2 + 3y2$$
$$z2 - x2 = 3y2$$

Factoring, we have

$$(z-x)(z+x)=3y^2.$$

- Because the gcd(x, z) = 1, we can show that gcd(z x, z + x) = 1 when z is even and x is odd.
- From Niven[2], if *u* and *v* are relatively prime positive integers whose product *uv* is a perfect square, then *u* and *v* are both perfect squares.
- This implies that either $z x = 3k^2$ and $z + x = t^2$ or $z + x = 3k^2$ and $z x = t^2$.

All Solutions Presented

Case 1
$$z - x = 3k^2$$
 and $z + x = t^2$

Adding these two equations together yields

$$2z = 3k^2 + t^2$$
 or $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$

From this we find that

$$x = \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{2}$$
 and $y = kt$.

Note that we must choose k and t so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and 3 /t.

Case 2
$$z + x = 3k^2$$
 and $z - x = t^2$
We find that $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$, $x = \frac{t^2 - 3k^2}{2}$ and $y = kt$

All Solutions Presented

Case 1
$$z - x = 3k^2$$
 and $z + x = t^2$

Adding these two equations together yields

$$2z = 3k^2 + t^2$$
 or $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$

From this we find that

$$x=\frac{3k^2-t^2}{2} \text{ and } y=kt.$$

Note that we must choose k and t so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and $3 \not| t$.

Case 2
$$z + x = 3k^2$$
 and $z - x = t^2$
We find that $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$, $x = \frac{t^2 - 3k^2}{2}$ and $y = kt$.

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへ⊙

All Solutions Presented

Case 1
$$z - x = 3k^2$$
 and $z + x = t^2$

Adding these two equations together yields

$$2z = 3k^2 + t^2$$
 or $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$

From this we find that

$$x = \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{2} \text{ and } y = kt.$$

Note that we must choose k and t so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and $3 \not| t$.

Case 2
$$z + x = 3k^2$$
 and $z - x = t^2$
We find that $z = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$, $x = \frac{t^2 - 3k^2}{2}$ and $y = kt$.

All Solutions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This means that all primitive solutions are categorized by

$$x=\left|\frac{3k^2-t^2}{2}\right|,$$

$$y = kt$$
,

and

$$z=\frac{3k^2+t^2}{2},$$

where k and t are positive integers chosen so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and $3 \not| t$.

All Solutions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

This means that all primitive solutions are categorized by

$$x=\left|\frac{3k^2-t^2}{2}\right|,$$

$$y = kt$$
,

and

$$z=\frac{3k^2+t^2}{2},$$

where k and t are positive integers chosen so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and $3 \not| t$.

All Solutions

This means that all primitive solutions are categorized by

$$x=\left|\frac{3k^2-t^2}{2}\right|,$$

$$y = kt$$
,

and

$$z=\frac{3k^2+t^2}{2},$$

where k and t are positive integers chosen so that gcd(k, t) = 1, both are odd, and $3 \not| t$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



Finding a, b, and c

Recall that z = 2c, x = 2a + b, and y = b. First,

$$b = y = kt$$
$$b = kt$$

Then,

$$x = 2a + b = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{2} \right|$$
$$a = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{4} \right| - \frac{kt}{2}$$

Finally,

$$z = 2c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$$
$$c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{4}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



Finding *a*, *b*, and *c*

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Recall that z = 2c, x = 2a + b, and y = b. First,

$$b = y = kt$$
$$b = kt$$

Then,
$$x = 2a + b = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{2} \right|$$
$$a = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{4} \right| - \frac{kt}{2}$$

Finally

$$z = 2c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$$
$$c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{4}$$



Finding a, b, and c

Recall that
$$z = 2c$$
, $x = 2a + b$, and $y = b$.
First,

$$b = y = kt$$
$$b = kt$$

Then,
$$x = 2a + b = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{2} \right|$$
$$a = \left| \frac{3k^2 - t^2}{4} \right| - \frac{kt}{2}$$

Finally,
$$z = 2c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{2}$$
$$c = \frac{3k^2 + t^2}{4}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

Applying a, b, and c

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Observe that *a* is not always positive. This will add a few extra conditions. Since, a > 0 is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{3k^2-t^2}{4}\right)^2 > \frac{k^2t^2}{4}$$

or

$$9k^{4} - 16k^{2}t^{2} + t^{4} > 0$$

$$(k^{2} - t^{2})(9k^{2} - t^{2}) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(k + t)(3k - t)(3k + t) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(3k - t) > 0$$

Thus, either k > t or 3k < t must hold.

Applying a, b, and c

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Observe that *a* is not always positive. This will add a few extra conditions. Since, a > 0 is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{3k^2-t^2}{4}\right)^2 > \frac{k^2t^2}{4}$$

or

$$9k^{4} - 16k^{2}t^{2} + t^{4} > 0$$

$$(k^{2} - t^{2})(9k^{2} - t^{2}) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(k + t)(3k - t)(3k + t) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(3k - t) > 0$$

Thus, either k > t or 3k < t must hold.

Applying a, b, and c

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Observe that *a* is not always positive. This will add a few extra conditions. Since, a > 0 is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{3k^2-t^2}{4}\right)^2 > \frac{k^2t^2}{4}$$

or

$$9k^{4} - 16k^{2}t^{2} + t^{4} > 0$$

$$(k^{2} - t^{2})(9k^{2} - t^{2}) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(k + t)(3k - t)(3k + t) > 0$$

$$(k - t)(3k - t) > 0$$

Thus, either k > t or 3k < t must hold.



To summarize, we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem

For every odd positive integers k and t where 3 /t, such that either k > t or 3k < t, all solutions to our triangle are of the form

$$a = s\left(\left|\frac{3k^2 - t^2}{4}\right| - \frac{kt}{2}\right)$$
$$b = s(kt)$$

and

$$c=s\left(\frac{3k^2+t^2}{4}\right),$$

where s is any positive integer.



To summarize, we have proven the following theorem.

Theorem

For every odd positive integers k and t where 3 /t, such that either k > t or 3k < t, all solutions to our triangle are of the form

$$a = s\left(\left|\frac{3k^2 - t^2}{4}\right| - \frac{kt}{2}\right)$$
$$b = s(kt)$$

and

$$c=s\left(\frac{3k^2+t^2}{4}\right),$$

where s is any positive integer.

- Simple solution to the proposed question was found.
- There exists infinitely many solutions.
- We have fully characterized all of the solutions.
- We found an application for integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$.

- Simple solution to the proposed question was found.
- There exists infinitely many solutions.
- We have fully characterized all of the solutions.
- We found an application for integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$.

- Simple solution to the proposed question was found.
- There exists infinitely many solutions.
- We have fully characterized all of the solutions.
- We found an application for integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$.

- Simple solution to the proposed question was found.
- There exists infinitely many solutions.
- We have fully characterized all of the solutions.
- We found an application for integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$.

- Simple solution to the proposed question was found.
- There exists infinitely many solutions.
- We have fully characterized all of the solutions.
- We found an application for integer solutions of the equation $x^2 + 3y^2 = z^2$.

- Do further studies on equations of the form $x^2 + ny^2 = z^2$.
- For example, if our triangle is not equilateral, it can be shown:

•
$$n > 0$$

• $n \neq d^2$
• $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$
• $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

• Learn more number theory!

- Stanley Rabinowitz. Problems for Solution No. 1245. (Fall 2011). Problem Department, *Pi Mu Epsilon Journal*.
- Niven, I., Zuckerman, H., and Montgomery, H. (1991). An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Wiley.

Special thanks to Dr. Jacek Fabrykowski for excellent Advisement!